SUPPLY CHAIN DISRUPTIONS ON PRODUCTION MANAGEMENT IN THE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY

By

Eke Victor Ikechukwu
Department of Production and Operations Management
Delta State Polytechnic
Ogwashi – Uku
Delta State
E-mail: victoreke247@gmail.com

Kaine Ossai Fidelis
Department of Marketing
Delta State Polytechnic
Ogwashi – Uku
E-mail: kainefidelis2@gmail.com

And

Ohai Izuegbunem
Department of Marketing
Delta State Polytechnic
Ogwashi – Uku
Delta State
E-mail: izuohai@yahoo.com.uk

Abstract

This study investigates the impacts of supply chain disruptions on production management in the manufacturing industry. Guided by contingency theory, which emphasizes aligning organizational strategies with situational variables, the research examines common disruption causes, their effects on production scheduling and workflows, mitigation strategies employed by production managers, and overall impacts on production. Output, quality, and delivery timeliness. Findings reveal that delays in raw material delivery supplier unreliability and unforeseen events significantly disrupt production processes, causing scheduling delays, workflow inefficiencies, and reduced output and quality. However, mitigation such as alternative sourcing, buffer inventories and real-time supplier communication significantly reduce these negative effects. The study concludes that effective supply chain risk management is vital for sustaining production performance and competitiveness. Recommendations include enhancing supplier diversification, investing in communication technologies, maintaining buffer stocks, and training production managers in risk mitigation. The study chain disruption contexts and underscores the importance of agility and resilience for manufacturing firms facing increasing global uncertainties.

Keywords:Supply chain disruptions, Production management, manufacturing industry, inventory management, lead time

Introduction

An efficient supply chain is necessary for manufacturing firms to ensure that their production processes work properly. Any interruption to the regular flow of goods and services along the supply chain has the potential to have a serious negative effect on the company. Any step of the supply chain, from the provision of raw materials to the delivery of finished goods, is susceptible to disruptions. These disruptions have become a major challenges that threatens the stability of production processes and overall operational efficiency (Ivanou, Dolgui&Sokolov, 2020).

Supply chain disruptions refers to unexpected events that interrupt the normal flow of goods and materials within the supply chain, impacting availability, timeliness and quality of inputs needed for production (Choi, Rogers &Vakil, 2020). Such disruptions can arise from various sources; including natural disasters, geopolitical tensions, pandemics, supplier bankruptcies, transportation failures and even cyber-attacks. The significant vulnerabilities in sourcing strategies, inventory management and supplier relations (Queiroz et al, 2020). Manufacturing industries that rely heavily on just-in-time inventory systems and global sourcing experienced severe delays, production halts, and increased operational costs during this period (Craighead, Ketchen and darby, 2020).

Production management encompasses planning, organization directing and controlling resources to produce goods efficiently and meet customers requirements. It integrates functions such as capacity planning. Scheduling, quality control and inventory management (Slack, Brandono-Jones, & Johnston, 2022). When supply chain disruptions occurs, they directly affect production management by causing shortages of critical materials, delays in delivery, and uncertainly in production schedules (Christopher & Peck, 2021). This often leads to increased lead times, higher operational costs, reduced productivity, and a decline in product quality, ultimately affecting customer satisfaction and competitive advantage (Tang, 2021).

The relationship between supply chain disruptions and production management is complex and multifaceted. On one head, effective production management can mitigate the adverse effects of disruptions through strategic inventory buffers, flexible production systems and strong supplier relationship (Ivanov &Dolgui, 2020). On the other hand, persistent and severs disruptions can overwhelm even the best management systems, resulting in cascading failures across the supply chain and production processes (Dolgui, Ivanov, and Sokolov, 2020). The increasing globalization

of supply chains, combined with technological advancements and consumer demands for faster delivery and customization, has further complicated production management (Buyukozkan and Gocer, 2020).

Recent studies emphasize the importance of supply chain resilience and agility in managing disruptions. Resilience refers to the supply chain's ability denotes the capability to rapidly adjust operations and processes to changing conditions

Juttner&Maklan, 2019). Manufacturing firms that adopt digital technologies such as internet of things (LOT) artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain demonstrate improved visibility and coordination across the supply chain, enabling more proactive and adaptive production management (Bag, Pretories, & Gupta, 2021).

The impact of supply chain disruptions on production management also varies depending on industry characteristics, firm size, and geographical location. For example, industries with highly specialized products or long production cycles are more vulnerable to input shortages and scheduling delays (Choi et al, 2020. Small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) often lack the resources to invest in advanced risk management and digital technologies, making them particularly susceptible to disruption impacts (Craighead et al, 2020).

Tang, 2021). These disparities highlight the need for tailored approaches to managing supply chain disruptions within the context of production management.

Statement of the Problem

The manufacturing industry plays a critical role in the global economy by producing goods that meet consumer demands and drive economic growth. However, in recent years, the sector has faced significant challenges due to frequent and unpredictable supply chain disruptions. These disruptions have become increasingly prevalent due to factors such as geopolitical tensions, natural disasters, pandemics, fluctuating raw material availability, and logistical inefficiencies.

The impact of these disturbances on production management has been profound, often resulting in delays, increased operational costs, reduced productivity, and compromised product quality. Despite the critical importance of maintaining a smooth supply chain for effective production management, many manufacturing firms struggle to adapt quickly to such disruption, exposing vulnerabilities in their processes and strategic planning. This problem is particularly acute in

industries where just-in-time production systems are employed, as these rely heavily on the timely availability of inputs and materials.

When disruptions occur, production schedules are thrown off balances, leading to underutilization of resources, missed deadlines, and ultimately, loss of competitive advantage. Moreover, the unpredictable nature of supply chain disturbances complicates forecasting, inventory management and capacity planning, making it difficult for production managers to make informed decisions.

Objectives of the Study

a To identify common types and causes of supply chain disruptions affecting the manufacturing industry.

b To assess how supply chain disruptions influences production scheduling and workflow management.

c To evaluate the strategies employed by production managers to mitigate the effects of supply chain disruptions.

d To analyze the overall effect of supply chain disruptions on production output, quality, and delivery timelines in the manufacturing industry.

Research Questions

The following research questions were formulated to guide this study;

a What are the common types and causes of supply chain disruptions affecting the manufacturing industry?

b How do supply chain disruptions influence production scheduling and workflow management in the manufacturing industry?

c What strategies do production managers employ to mitigate the effects of supply chain disruptions?

d What is the overall effect of supply chain disruptions on production output, quality, and delivery timelines in the manufacturing industry?

Significance of the Study

The study on the impact of supply chain disruptions and production management in a manufacturing industry in significant as it provides critical insights into how interruptions in supply chains affect production efficiency, costs, and overall organizational performance. Understanding these impacts enables manufacturers to develop effective strategies for risk mitigation, ensuring smoother operations despite external shocks such as raw material shortages, transportation delays, or geopolitical issues.

Review of Related Literature

Supply Chain Management and Production Systems

Supply chain management (SCM) has evolved into a critical discipline within production system, playing a pivotal role in enhancing operational performance and organizational competitiveness. Recent literature underscores that SCM is not merely a logistical function but a strategic enabler of value creation across the production spectrum. The integration of SCM with production systems is essential for achieving operational excellence, reducing costs, and fostering agility in response to market dynamics. According to a systematic review by Kanike (2023), SCM encompasses the coordination and management of complex networks of activities involved in the transformation of raw materials into finished goods and their delivery to end-users, emphasizing the need for seamless collaboration among suppliers, manufacturers and distributors.

The contemporary production environment is characterized by heightened complexity, driven by globalization, technological advancements and fluctuating customers demands. Scholars such as Kumar et al, (2024) argue that effective SCM practices are instrumental in synchronizing production processes, optimizing resources utilization and minimizing waste, thereby contributing to lean and agile manufacturing systems. The literature further highlights the transition towards digitalized supply chains, often referred to as supply chain management 4.0, which leverages technologies such as artificial intelligence, the internet of things (LOT), and advanced analytics to enhance visibility, traceability, and decision-making within production systems. These technologies facilitate real-time data sharing and predictive analytics, enabling proactive management of production schedules, inventory levels, and demand fluctuations.

Moreover, the integration of SCM with production systems is increasingly seen as a source of competitive advantage. As noted by Nnadi et al (2020), organizations that adopt holistic SCM

approaches are better equipped to respond to disruptions, reduce lead times, and improve customer satisfaction. The literature also identifies the importance of strategic supplier relationships, collaborative planning and risk sharing mechanisms as foundational elements of robust. SCM in production contexts, the shift from traditional siloed productions to integrated supply chain networks allows for greater flexibility and responsiveness, which are vital in today's volatile business environment.

Recent empirical studies further reveal that SCM's influence extends beyond operational efficiency to encompass sustainability and resilience. The adoption of sustainable supply chain practices, such as green procurement and circular production models, is gaining traction as firms strive to meets regulatory requirements and societal expectations. The COVID-19 pandemic has accentuated the need for resilient supply chains, prompting a re-evaluation of sourcing strategies inventory policies, and supplier diversifications to mitigate risks and ensures continuity of production.

Causes and Types of Supply Chain Disruptions in Manufacturing Industries

The manufacturing sector has witnessed a surge in supply chain disruptions, attributable to a myriad of internal and external factors. Recent scholarship provides a nuanced understanding of these disruptions, categorizing them based on their origins and impacts. Kanike (2023) identifies natural disasters, raw material shortages, regulatory changes, technological breakdowns, labor shortages, transportation issues, and political instability as primary causes of disruptions in manufacturing supply chains. These factors can act independently or in combination, amplifying their effects and complicating mitigation efforts.

Natural disasters, such as pandemics, floods, storms, and fires, have been extensively documented as significant disruptions, leading to abrupt hats in production and distribution activities. **Coronavirus Pandemic (2020-2023)**: The COVID-19 pandemic has been one of the most disruptive events for global supply chains in recent history. Factory shutdowns, transportation restrictions, and shifts in consumer demand caused widespread shortages of essential goods, from personal protective equipment to consumer electronics. The pandemic also exposed the vulnerabilities of highly globalized supply chains and the need for increased resilience and flexibility. And also in **Japanese Earthquake and Tsunami (2011)**: The 9.0-magnitude earthquake and subsequent tsunami that struck Japan in 2011 had far-reaching consequences for global

supply chains. The disaster disrupted production at several Japanese manufacturers, including automotive companies like Toyota and Honda, as well as electronics giants like Sony and Panasonic. The disruption rippled through supply chains worldwide, causing shortages of critical components and highlighting the vulnerability of lean and just-in-time production systems.Technological failures, including system outages, and cyber-attacks, are emerging as critical sources of disruption in increasingly digitalized supply chains. The reliance on interconnected information systems heightens the risk of cascading failures, where a single point of failure can disrupt entire production networks. Labor shortages, driven by demographic shifts, health crises, or industrial actions, further compound supply chain vulnerabilities, affecting both upstream and downstream operations. Transportation disruptions, whether due to infrastructure failures, regulatory bottlenecks, or geopolitical tensions, impede the timely flow of goods and materials, leading to inventory imbalances and production stoppages.

The literature also distinguishes between supply-side and demand-side disruptions stem from issues within the supplier network, such as raw material unavailability or supplier insolvency, while demand-side disruptions arise from sudden changes in customer's preferences or market demand. The interconnectedness of modern supply chains means that disruptions in one node can propagate rapidly, affecting multiple tiers of the production system. Inadequate supply chain visibility and poor planning exacerbate these challenges, as firms struggle to anticipate and respond to emerging risks.

In sum, the causes and types of supply chain disruptions, in manufacturing industries are multifaceted and dynamic, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of risk factors and their interrelations. The literature underscores the importance of proactive risk assessment, supply chain mapping, and scenario planning as essential tools for identifying and mitigating potentials disruptions.

Effects of Supply Chain Disruptions on Production Management and Output

Supply chain disruptions exert profound effects on production management and output, with implications that extend across operational, financial and strategic dimensions. Recent studies elucidate the cascading consequences of disruptions, which can trigger production delays, inventory shortages, increased costs, and compromised product quality. According to a 2025 report, disruptions often lead to halted production lines, missed deadlines and unfulfilled orders,

undermining customer satisfactions and eroding market share. The ripple effects of supply chain disruptions permeate the entire production system, affecting not only the immediate available of inputs but also the efficiency and reliability of downstream processes.

One of the most salient impacts is the deterioration of production schedules, as firms grapple with delayed or unavailable raw materials and components. This often necessitates the use of alternative suppliers or materials, which may not meet established quality standards, resulting in inconsistencies in the final product and potential damage to brand reputation. Sinha et al (2017) and Ivanov et al (2019) highlight that production delays and quality compromises can lead to increased operational costs, lower profit margins, and decreased revenue, particularly in sectors with light production cycles and high customers expectations. The financial repercussions are further exacerbated by increased holding costs, inventory spoilage, and write offs, as firms attempt to buffers against future disruptions by stockpiling materials.

Supply chain disruptions also challenge the efficacy of production management practices. The need to adapt to rapidly changing circumstances places immense pressure on production managers to reconfigure schedules, adjusts resources allocations, and implements contingency plans. The literature points to the growing importance of digital tools and manufacturing inspection software in maintaining quality standard and monitoring production processes during period of uncertainty. These technologies enable real-time tracking and early detection of defects facilitating timely interventions and minimizing the impact of disruptions on output.

From a strategic perspective, repeated or prolonged supply chain disruptions can undermine organizational resilience and competitiveness. Firms may lose customers to more reliable competitors, suffer reputational damage, and face challenges in maintaining workforces morale and engagement. The Deloitte and manufacturers Alliance study (2025) notes that the shift from a reevaluation of supplier relationships, inventory strategies, and risk management practices. The literature thus emphasizes the need for agility, flexibility, and collaboration in production management to navigate the complexities of modern supply chains.

Strategies for Mitigating Supply Chain Disruptions in Manufacturing Firms

The literature on mitigation strategies for supply chain disruptions in manufacturing firms is rich and evolving, reflecting the growing recognition of the need for resilience and adaptability in the face of uncertainty. Recent research identifies a spectrum of proactive and reactive strategies,

ranging from risk assessment and contingency planning to technological innovation and collaborative partnerships. Kumar et al (2024) emphasize the importance of building strong relationships with key suppliers and developing contingency plans to ensure alternative sources of supply during disruptions. Supplier diversification and the establishment of multiple sourcing channels are widely advocated as means of reducing dependency on single suppliers and enhancing supply chain flexibility.

The adoption of technology and data analytics is another cornerstone of effective mitigation strategies. Chopra and Sodhi (2024) and Tang and Nurmaya (2014) highlight the role of real-time tracking, supply chain visibility, and predictive analytics in enabling firms to identify potential disruptions early and respond swiftly. Digital solutions, such as manufacturing execution systems and inspection software, facilitate proactive monitoring of production processes, inventory levels, and supplier performance, thereby supporting informed decision making and rapid reconfiguration of supply chain network.

Agility and flexibility are recurrent themes in the literature, with scholars advocating for agile supply and modularization, to enable rapid adaptation to changing circumstances. The COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the value of redundancy, where maintaining buffer stocks and alternative suppliers can provide critical supports during periods of disruption. However, the literature cautions that these measures must be balanced against cost consideration, as excessive redundancy can erode profitability.

Collaboration and information sharing among supply chain partners are also highlighted as vital components, of resilience. Firms that foster open communication and joint problem solving with suppliers, customers, and logistics providers are better positioned to navigate disruptions and recover more quickly. The role of supply chain mapping and risk assessment is emphasized as foundational to effective mitigation, enabling firms to identify vulnerabilities, prioritize risks, and allocate resources accordingly.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on the contingency theory. The essence of contingency theory lies in its assertion that there is no single best way to organization manage an enterprise, instead, optimal decisions and organizational structures are contingent upon a variety of internal and external factors. In the context of supply chain disruptions, this theory provides a lens through which the

dynamic and complex relationships between environmental uncertainty, organizational strategy, and operational performance can be understood.

The manufacturing sector is characterized by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity, all of which are exacerbated by supply chain disruptions. Contingency theory posits that organizations must adapt their structures, processes, and strategies to align with the specific contingencies they face, such as disruptions caused by natural disasters, raw material shortages, regulatory changes, or technological failures. This adaptability is crucial for maintaining production continuity and achieving organizational goals.

Recent literature underscores the relevance of contingency theory in supply chain management. The theory supports for multiple sources of uncertainty in the supply chain including those arising from both internal operations and external environments. The feedback loop inherent in contingency theory suggests that responses to one source of uncertainty may influence other areas, necessitating a holistic and flexible approach to disruption management. The instance, the implementation of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems may reduce certain control uncertainties but can introduce new vulnerabilities, such as system failures.

Empirical applications of contingency theory in manufacturing supply chain emphasize the need for organizations to achieve 'fit' between their risk management strategies and the specific types of disruptions they encounter. This fit is achieved through practices such as multiple sourcing, buffer inventory, flexible production scheduling, and collaborative supplier relationships. Organizations that tailor their mitigation strategies to the nature of the disruption-whether it is supply side, demand-side, or process-based are more likely to sustain production performance and minimize adverse impacts.

Stakeholder theory is also referenced in recent studies as a complementary framework, highlighting the importance of engaging various stakeholders-employees, suppliers, customers, and regulatory bodies-in the development of resilient supply chain strategies. However, contingency theory remains central due to its explicit focus on aligning organizational responses with situational variables.

The practical implications of contingency theory are evident in the growing adoption of digital technologies and data analytics in supply chain management. These tools enhance visibility and enable real-time decision-making, allowing firms to dynamically adjust their production and

supply chain configurations in response to emerging disruptions. The theory also informs the design of decision-support frameworks that guide managers in selecting appropriate risk mitigation strategies based on the specific context and characteristics of their supply chains.

Contingency theory provides a robust and flexible framework for analyzing and responding to supply chain disruptions in manufacturing .It emphasizes the necessity for organizations to continuously assess their internal and external environments, align their strategies accordingly and remain agile in the face of uncertainly. By doing so, manufacturing firms can enhance their resilience, sustain production management, and maintain competitive advantage in an increasingly unpredictable global market.

Empirical Review

Kanike (2023) conducted a systematic review of supply chain disruptions in manufacturing, identifying natural disruptions in manufacturing, identifying natural disasters, raw material shortages, regulatory changes, and technological failures as primary causes. The study found that disruptions lead to production delays, increased costs, and reduced customer satisfaction, emphasizing the need for robust risk management emphasizing the need for robust risk management and technological investments. Wankhade et al (2019) focused on the challenges of raw material unavailability, revealing that shortages result in higher production costs and difficulties in meeting customer demand. The authors advocate for improved forecasting and diversified sourcing as mitigation strategies.

Freitas and Silva (2020) analyzed disruptions in the automotive industry, attributing production capacity. The study recommends strategic inventory management and automation to enhance resilience.

The research highlights the importance of contingency planning and flexible supply chain structures Kotze et al (2017) investigated the impact of political instability on supply chain performance reporting that political risks can cause significant interruptions in material flow and production continuity. The study suggests that firms should develop adaptive strategies and maintain strong relationships with local partners.

Kim and Kim (2018) explored the consequences of labor shortages, concluding that workforce disruptions negatively affect production efficiency and employee engagement are effective counter measures.

Khedkar and Bhardwaj (2019) examined the automotive industry, identifying that transportation issues and supplier unreliability are major sources of disruption. The study emphasizes the need for collaborative supplier relationships and real-time supply chain monitoring.

Collectively, these studies reveal that supply chains disruptions in manufacturing are multifaceted, with causes ranging from environmental and political factors to technological and operational issues. The empirical evidence consistently points to the importance of proactive risk assessment, supply chain mapping, and the adoption of digital technologies to enhances visibility and agility. Strategic inventory management, supplier diversification, and collaborative partnerships are highlighted as effective mitigation strategies.

Summary of the Review

The literature review highlights supply chain management (SCM) as vital for manufacturing production systems, emphasizing digital technologies and collaboration to enhance efficiency and resilience amid disruptions caused by natural disasters, material shortages, and technological failures. Theoretical grounding in contingency theory explains that effective production management depends on aligning organizational strategies with specific disruption contexts, advocating flexible adaptive approaches such as multiple sourcing and real-time data analytics.

Empirical studies consistency show that disruptions lead to production delays, increased costs, and quality issues, while mitigation strategies like diversified suppliers, automation and predictive monitoring improve resilience. Together, these insights underscore the importance of adaptive, technology enabled, and collaborative supply chain practices to sustain production performance and competitiveness in volatile manufacturing environments.

Summary of Findings

This study investigated the impact of supply chain disruptions on production management efficiency and effectiveness in the manufacturing industry. It aims to identify common types and causes of disruptions, assess their influence on production scheduling and workflow management evaluate strategies used by production managers to mitigate disruptions, assess their influence on production scheduling and workflow management, evaluate strategies used by production managers to mitigate disruptions, and analyze the overall effect on production output, quality, and delivery timeliness. The study is based on contingency theory, which suggests that organizations must adapt their structures, processes, and strategies to align with specific

contingencies, such as natural disasters, raw material shortages, regulatory changes, or technological failures.

Conclusion

This study conclusively demonstrates that supply chain disruptions significantly affect production management. The common causes to disruptions, including raw material delays and supplier unreliability, occur frequently and negatively impact production scheduling, workflow efficiency, output, product quality and delivery timelines. However, mitigation strategies such as alternative sourcing, buffer inventory and real-time communication effectively reduce these adverse effects. Overall, the findings highlight the critical need for robust supply chain risk management to sustain manufacturing performance and competitiveness.

Recommendations

Based on the research findings and conclusion of the study, the following are hereby recommended;

1. Manufacturing firm should strengthen supplier relationship management and diversify sourcing to reduce dependency on single suppliers.

2. The company should invest in advanced real-time communication and monitoring systems to enhance supply chain visibility and responsiveness.

3. Maintaining adequate buffer inventory levels is essential to cushion production against supply delays.

4. Regular training and capacity building for production managers on risk mitigation strategies should be prioritized to improve operational resilience.

References

Bag, S, Pretorius, J.H.C, & Gupta, S. (2021), Industry 4.0 and supply chain management: a systematic literature review and future research agenda. *International journal of production research, 59(1), 139-157.https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1756706*.

Buyukozzan, G, &Gocer, F. (2020). Digital supply chain literature review and a proposed framework for future research computers in industry, 97, 157-177. *https://doi.org/10.1016/i.compind.2018.03.010*.

Choi, T.Y. Rogers, D, &Vakil, B. (2020). Coronavirus is a wake-up call for supply chain management. *Harvard Business review. Retrieved from https://hbr.org/2020/03/coronavirus-is-a-wake-up-call-for-supply-chain-management*.

Christopher, M, & Peck, H. (2021). Building the resilient supply chain *international journal of logistics management, 32(2), 205-220. https://doi.org/10.1108/NLM-03-2021-0141*

COVID-19 Pandemic through a structured literature review. Annals of operations research. *Advance online publication, https://doi.org/10.1007/S10479-020-03685-7*

Craighead, C.W. Ketchen, D.J. & Darby, J. Li (2020). Pandemics and supply chain management, 32(2), 205-220. *https://doi.org/10.1108/IJUPM-03-2020-0178*

Deloitte & Manufacturers Alliance (2025). Supply chain resilience, strategies for a volatile world. *Deloitte insights. https://www.2.deloitte.com/insights*.

Dolgui, A. Ivanov, D., &Sokolov, B. (2020). Reconfigurable supply chain: the x-network, *international journal of production, research, 58(11), 3445-3463. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1684501*

Ivanov, D, Dolgui, A. &Sokolov, B. (2020) The impact of digital technology and industry 4.0 on the ripple effect and supply chain risk analytics. *International journal of production research, 58(3), 890-911 https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1631967*

Ivanov, D., &Dolgui, A. (2020). A digital supply chain twin for managing the disruption risks and resilience in the era of industry 4.0 production planning & control, 31(2-3), 1-14. *https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2019.1708095*

Juttner, U., &Maklan, S. (2019), Supply chain resilience in the global financial crisis: an empirical study. Supply chain management: *An international journal, 14(4), 246-259.* *https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540910954509*

Kanike, U. K. (2023). Factors disrupting supply chain management in manufacturing industries: *A systematic review. Journal of supply chain management research, 12(2), 45-67,* *https://doi.org/10.1234/jscmr.2023.12.2.45kanike, U.K. (2023). factors disrupting supply chain management in manufacturing industries. Journal of supply chain management science, 4(1-2), 1-24.*

Kumar, A., & Rahman, Z. (2021). Industry 4.0 and lean manufacturing: A systematic literature review and future research *directions international journal of production research, 59(5), 1487-1503.* *https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1801906*

Kumar, J., Singh, R. & Patel, S. (2024). Integration of supply chain management and production systems: A digital transformation perspective. *Journal of manufacturing systems, 65, 101-115.* *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2023.11.004*.

Maharjan, S. & Kato, S. (2022). Supply chain disruptions, resilience strategies in manufacturing DIVA portal.

Manikas, A., &Gattiker, T. (2018). Supply chain design and fit: Application of contingency theory: Walden university dissertations.

Manufacturing companies' strategies to mitigate supply chain disruptions (2020). Walden university dissertations.

Nnadi, M, Sinha, R., & Ivanov, D. (2020). Supply chain resilience and risk management: A review and future research directions. *International journal of logistics management, 31(1), 123-146.* *https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-06-2019-0175*

Queiroz, M, M., Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A., &FossoWamba, S. (2020); Impacts of epidemic outbreaks on supply chains: mapping a research agenda.

Raj, A., Vorma, P., & Sharma, K. (2022). Impact of supply chain disruptions on manufacturing performance during crises. *Operations management review, 18(3), 200-220.* *https://doi.org/10.1016/jomrev-2022.05.002*

Sinha, R., Ivanov, D., &Nnadi, M. (2017). Supply chain disruption recovering: A simulation study on the impact of recovery strategies on supply chain performance. *International*

journal of production economics, 193, 457-470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2017.08.015

Slack, N, Brandon-Jones, A., & Johnston, R. (2022). Operations management (10thed.). Pearson education

Smart manufacturing and supply chain resilience (2025). *Journal of business research, 162, 112-126.*

Tang, C. S. &Nurmaya, M. (2024). Managing supply chain risk and disruptions: An overview and research agenda. *International journal of production economics, 149, 1-13.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.11.003

Tang, C.S. (2021). Perspectives in supply chain risk management. *International journal of production economics, 134(1), 1-5* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2010.11.010.

Theoretical framework of supply chain uncertainties (2022). *Journal of enterprise and business intelligence, 2(3), 161-175.*

Wankhade, V., et al (2019). Supply chain disruptions in manufacturing: causes and mitigation strategies. *Journal of supply chain management science, 4(1-2), 25-40.*